

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,347	02/13/2002	Shuji Yonekubo	Q68498	6061
75	90 04/15/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW			NGUYEN, LAM S	
	C 20037-3213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2853 DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	
•				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/073,347	YONEKUBO, SHUJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	LAM S. NGUYEN	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication			S			
Period for Reply	•	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of t iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 March 2005.					
·— · ·	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	drawn from consideration. ed.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. ⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ı Application No. <u>09/828,998</u> . en received in this National Staç	ge			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/06/2005.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/073,347 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

The applicants' argument filed on 03/23/2005 regarding the 103 rejection has been found persuasive. As a result, the final rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the second nozzle" on line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-8, 12-16, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Numata et al. (US 5625384) in view of Miura et al. (US 5988782) and Kaneko et al. (US 5956056).

Numata et al. disclose a liquid jetting apparatus (FIG. 75) comprising;

a container-setting portion (FIG. 75, element 9) at which separated liquid chambers containing different color liquids are set (FIG. 75: Each printhead 8a has a liquid chamber that contains a color liquid different from others),

a head member having a nozzle and a second nozzle (FIG. 75, element 9: Each printhead 8a has nozzles as shown in FIG. 48A-B),

a liquid way that can communicate with the liquid chamber set at the containersetting portion and the nozzle, a second liquid way that can communicate with the second liquid chamber set at the container-setting portion and the second nozzle (FIG. 75: Each liquid chamber in a printhead is in fluid communication with the nozzles in that printhead),

a liquid discharging unit that can cause the liquid to be discharged from the nozzle, a second liquid discharging unit that can cause the second liquid to be discharged from the second nozzle (FIG. 75, element RECOVERY SYSTEM UNIT and column 43, lines 45-48: A corresponding unit that performs recovery operation by sucking (discharging) ink from the nozzles).

Even though, Numata et al. discloses the comprising of a controller that can control the liquid discharging units based on information about the composition or property of the ink in the ink tank cartridge manufactured a long time ago (column 43, lines 42-67), Numata et al. does not clearly disclose that the controller controls the liquid discharging units based on information about sedimentation-property of the liquids in the liquid chambers and information about sedimentation-state of the liquids in the liquid chambers, and wherein the point of time that is a standard for judgment of the sedimentation-state is a point of time when the liquid container was stirred previous time (Referring to claim 8).

Miura et al. discloses a controller for performing a printing process (FIG. 8A) in an ink jet printing apparatus, wherein in that process, the printing is controlled based on the comparison of an elapsed time T1 that is a period of time from a previous stirring to the present time (considered as sedimentation-state as defined by the applicants' disclosure that the sedimentation-state information is the information about a point of time for judgment the

sedimentation state) with a predetermined time period To (considered as sedimentationproperty) determined to be a period in which sedimentation of ink will not cause significant problem and substantially determined depending upon characteristics on inks (column 9, lines 27-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the controller in the printing system disclosed by Numata et al. such that, instead of controlling the liquid discharging unit based on the information about the composition and property of the liquid as a function of time, controlling the liquid discharging unit based on the information about sedimentation-state and property of the liquid as disclosed by Miura et al. The motivation of doing so is to ensure that the sedimentation of ink will not cause significant problem as taught by Miura et al. (column 9, lines 30-35).

In addition, Numata et al. does not disclose wherein the separated liquid chambers containing different color inks are included in a liquid container. In other words, Numata et al. does not disclose wherein the color ink chambers are in the same liquid container.

Kaneko et al. discloses an ink jet recording apparatus having an ink container (FIG. 9, element 110) that includes at least three color ink chambers for containing different color inks such as yellow, magenta, and cyan (column 5, lines 49-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the ink chambers disclosed by Numata et al. to include them in the same container as disclosed by Kaneko et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide an ink jet recording apparatus which may record a color image with less ununiformity of density as taught by Kaneko et al. (column 1, lines 40-44).

Numata et al. also discloses the following claimed inventions:

Referring to claims 2-5: further comprising a clock component that knows a present time, and a composition-or-property-state acquiring unit that can acquire the information about composition-or-property-state of the liquids in the liquid chambers, wherein the information about composition-or-property-state of the liquids in the liquid chambers is information about a point of time that is a standard for judgment of the composition-or-property-state, the liquid discharging controller has a calculating part that can calculate a passed time until the present time based on the information about a point of time that is a standard for judgment of the composition-or-property-state, and a main controlling part that can control the liquid discharging units based on the passed time, and the point of time that is a standard for judgment of the composition-or-property-state is a date when the liquid container was manufactured (column 43, lines 38-50: the type of recovery operation to be performed is decided by the number of months between the manufacturing date and the loading data), wherein the point of time that is a standard for judgment of the composition-or-property-state is a point of time when the liquid container was set at the container-setting portion (column 43, lines 62-65: the time that the cartridge is unpacked and loaded in the apparatus).

Referring to claim 6: wherein the information about the point of time when the liquid container was set at the container-setting portion is stored in a storage unit provided in the liquid container, and the composition-or-property-state acquiring unit is adapted to read out the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,347 Page 6

Art Unit: 2853

information stored in the storage unit (column 9, lines 57-62: the time when the new head is used first is written in a non-volatile memory and a corresponding unit reads this memory to acquire this information).

Referring to claim 7: wherein the point of time that is a standard for judgment of the composition-or-property-state is a point of time when the liquid was jetted previous time (FIG. 6, steps S505-506: a period of time is set since the last suction or last pre-discharge).

Referring to claims 12-13: wherein the liquid discharging unit is a cleaning unit that can cause the liquid to be absorbed from the nozzle or a flushing unit that can cause the liquid to be jetted from the nozzle (FIG. 6: a cleaning unit for suctioning).

Referring to claim 14: wherein the liquid container contains the liquid by containing a foam material filled with the liquid (column 40, lines 23-27).

Referring to claim 15: wherein the liquid contained in the liquid container is ink including pigment (column 40, lines 14-16: in term of "dye").

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 9-11, 41-43, 47-48 are allowed and the reasons for allowance were indicated in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument filed 03/23/2005 regarding to the 112 rejection has been fully considered but it is not persuasive. The applicants argued that the antecedent basis for "the second nozzle" in line 9 (claim 1) can be found in line 4. However, the examiner could not find any citation of "the second nozzle" in line 4. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/073,347

Art Unit: 2853

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to LAM S NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2151.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, STEPHEN D MEIER can be reached on (571)272-2149. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN

April 4, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Harchilham

Page 7